(iii) was the statement mentioned in rule 6 as appended to notification really appended; was it published then or at any time,

(iv) if not, why was it not published at the time?

Rao Sahib Mr. T. V. Kasturi Ranga Ayyar.—(a) Yes.

(ii) Category (a).

(iii) No. The statement was published in Kumbham and Karkadagam 1103.

(iv) Probably due to an oversight.

232. Mr. M. A. Chakko.—Will the Government be pleased to state.—

(i) how far the ayacut published more than 2 years later in July 1928 (Karkadagam 1103) varied from the ayacut proposed to have been appended to the notification, dated 1st May 1926;

(ii) is the area detailed in the ayacut of the latter date July 1928 entirely covered by the area referred to as receiving customary demand in rule 7 of the notification, dated 1st May 1926;

(iii) if the answer is in the negative, will the Government be pleased to give in print a list of the lands that received the customary demand indicating also the crop season at which each of the plots is to get it?

Rao Sahib Mr T. V. Kasturi Ranga Ayyar.—(i), (ii) and (iii) The Member is referred to the notification and the two lists placed on the Council Table.

Mr. M. A. Chakko.—About the supply of water to this land the ryots have made representations that the variation mentioned in (1) and (2) has been causing them inconvenience. Will the Government be pleased to say that that matter will be looked into?

Rao Sahib Mr. T. V. Kasturi Ranga Ayyar.—Yes, it is being looked into.

Mr. M A. Chakko.—If revision is necessary, will it be undertaken?

Rao Sahib Mr. T. V. Kasturi Ranga Ayyar.—Certainly.

233. Mr. M. A. Chakko.—Will the Government be pleased to state.—

if they are aware that Survey No. 238/1 is really a Mundakan land registered as such in the old ozhuku account, but by a mistake was entered as virippu in the settlement accounts, that nevertheless, mundakan crop continued to be raised in it all the time and that this mistake has given rise to so many complications?

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Rao Sahib Mr. T. V. Kasturi Ranga Ayyar.—Only a portion (1·60 acres) of Survey No. 238/1 is registered as mundakan in the old ozhuku accounts.

Mr. M. A. Chakko.—About Survey No. 238/1 it is pointed out that there has been some mistake about its registry as Mundakan for Viruppu. The Mundakan lands are entitled to water and the Viruppu lands can get water only by payment of water rate. Will the Government be pleased to say whether 238/1 gets water as usual without paying any rate?

Rao Sahib Mr. T. V. Kasturi Ranga Ayyar.—If it is entitled under the rules.

Toddy shop—Trichur.

234. Mrs. Swaris.—Will the Government be pleased to state if there are not an Orphanage, a Seminary, a Brother Mission Prayer Hall and an Industrial School for Young Women, quite close to the place where the four roads meet at which the Government proposes to locate the toddy shop?

Mr. U. Kandar Menon.—There are; but they are not very close to the place where the shop is at present located.

K. A. Samajam Hospital.

235. Mr. A. Eachara Menon.—Will the Government be pleased to state.—

(1) was not the K. A. Samajam Hospital started during the time of His Highness Sir Sree Rama Varma, G. C. S. I., G. C. I. E., late lamented Maharaja;

(2) was not the Hospital started with the consent and approbation of the Government;

(3) what was the amount till now paid by the Government;

(4) what further promise has the Government held out to the Manager of the Hospital;

(5) has not the Government received an offer from the K. A. Samajam to hand over the Hospital with its assets;

(6) is the Government aware that the Hospital has attracted patients from far and near;

(7) has not the Hospital been inspected by the Panchayat Superintendent;

(8) what is his report; is it favourable for the continuance of the Hospital;

(9) has there been any request of late from the Directors of the Samajam in furtherance of the usefulness of the Hospital?

Mr. P. V. Raphael.—(1) Yes.

(2) Yes.

(3) Rs. 15,608—3—7.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly